Swedish daycare: International example or cautionary tale?

*Part I: A look at Sweden after 40 years of public daycare*

JONAS HIMMELSTRAND

Sweden is a pioneer in public, tax-subsidised, out-of-home daycare. In 1975, the Swedish government made public daycare available and affordable to all. Daycare expanded greatly during the 1980s and was made even cheaper in 2002 when a maximum fee (*maxtaxa*) was introduced. No matter how many children, no matter how many hours they spend in care, no matter how high your income – you never pay more than a fixed maximum amount, which is SEK 2574 monthly, or just below CAD $400. A low income family with one child would pay around CAD $150 per month.¹

Daycare in Sweden is tax-subsidised at a rate of between CAD $18,000 to CAD $23,000 per child annually. Parents who stay home, in most municipalities, receive no benefits of any kind. In high-tax Sweden this forces many home care families into poverty.

The result, not surprisingly, is that daycare is the new norm in Sweden. Over 90 percent of all 18 month to 5-years-olds are in daycare.²

Since Canadians look to Sweden as an international example, it is wise to ask: *Is the Swedish model a best practise to copy or a cautionary tale?*

**HOW SWEDISH DAYCARE GOT ITS START**

In 1978, the women’s caucus of the ruling Social Democratic party, a party that was in power for the better part of 40 years, published *The Family of the Future: A Socialistic Family Policy*.³

The pamphlet strongly called for state-funded, affordable daycare. The goals were 1) better outcomes in child social development and academic achievement, 2) class equity, and 3) gender equity (or, as they put it, the liberation of women from their maternal instincts).
THE RESULTS

Forty years later, official statistics show that the anticipated outcomes have not been realized. Poor outcomes are acknowledged across the political spectrum, but these are not connected to the daycare system in any way. Furthermore, there is surprisingly little interest in finding out why they exist at all. The following list shows what the outcomes are.

1. Rapidly declining psychological health in youth

Physical health among Swedish youth is among the best in the world, but the same cannot be said for psychological wellbeing. An official Swedish government investigation in 2006 showed that mental health among Swedish 15-year-olds declined faster from 1986 to 2002 than in eleven comparable European countries. For girls, rates of poor mental health tripled during this period, from nine to 30 percent. According to the latest report in 2014 from the Public Health Agency of Sweden (Folkhälsomyndigheten) the numbers have remained at these high levels.

The study is based on self-reported symptoms such as anxiety, fright and alarm – a point to which we will return later. The increase happened in all groups of youth regardless of family situation, labour market situation or parental socioeconomic status. These self-reported studies are confirmed by a comparable increase in diagnosed psychiatric illness among youth during the same period.

Suicide attempts among Swedish youth are also increasing.

The Public Health Agency of Sweden is careful about how to interpret these findings. They say they do not know the reasons, but possible causes could be a tougher labour market or cultural changes, like increased individualisation.

2. Increased sick leave among women

Sick leave for Swedish women is among the highest in Europe with half of all the women leaving work before age 65, due to psycho-social stress.

A 2005 study showed that the first generation of Swedish mothers who used the new daycare system had an “extremely high” rate of sick leave in contrast with other European countries.

Anecdotal evidence tells the story of stressed out mothers, who feel coerced, both culturally and financially, to leave their one-year-olds in daycare. That many parents prefer to care for youngest children at home is well-known.
3. The deteriorating quality of parenthood

A study by school consultant Britta Johansson published in 2007 in the Swedish daily *Svenska Dagbladet* under the title *To Dare to Set Limits* showed that even healthy, intelligent and reasonable middle class Swedish parents are losing their parental abilities. They are unaware of their children’s needs and are not able to set limits. She concludes:

*The public offer of full day child care seems to make many parents lose grip on their own responsibility. They believe/want that their children are raised by the daycare/school and believe that the experts on their children are found there.*

She also states the obvious: Daycare/school can never fill the gaps caused by parents’ lack of time or their lack of trust in themselves.

This is confirmed by Swedish school teachers, counsellors and psychologists.

4. Highly gender segregated labour market

Sweden is often hailed as a model for gender equality. It shouldn’t be.

*The Swedish labour market is among the most gender segregated in the world, not just in the west.* Men typically work at well-paid jobs in the private sector, and women in comparatively lower paid jobs in the public sector.

Although the rate of employment of Swedish women may be among the highest in the western world, comparatively few women reach top career positions, public or private. Neither has Sweden ever had a woman prime minister or president, differing from all other Nordic countries.

Rather Swedish women have become “public mothers” as they work largely in daycares, schools and the healthcare sector.

5. Plummeting school results

PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) is the tool used by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development to rank country academic outcomes.

PISA studies show that Swedish school results for 15-year-olds in mathematics, science and reading have gone from above OECD average in years 2000 and 2003 to well below the OECD average in 2012. *No other country participating in PISA has seen a stronger decline in student performance in the past decade.*

The results are so shocking that the Swedish Government asked the OECD to evaluate the Swedish school system.

The OECD report identifies several problems in the Swedish school system, one of which
is the lack of high academic expectations on the pupils.\textsuperscript{10} Report author Andreas Schleicher writes: “At the top of the list is the need to raise standards and aspirations for students.” The OECD report emphasises the need for better teacher performance too, among other things.

The OECD report does not mention daycare as a possible negative influence on Swedish school results. It seems clear from the report that they have not even conceived of daycare as a possible correlation to later academic performance.

6. Disorder in Swedish classrooms

Both PISA and TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) research shows that Sweden has a high degree of disorder in its classrooms.\textsuperscript{11} This includes tardiness, truancy, bad language and disorderly behaviour. Again this is confirmed by Swedish teachers and headmasters.

Low quality care, no questions asked

Swedish daycare has the reputation of being high quality. This was true during the 1980s when Sweden had stricter regulations over group sizes and child-to-adult ratios.

By 1990, the average group size was almost 14 children with a child-to-adult ratio of 4.2 children per adult. Children under three were typically in groups of eight to nine children with three adults. Today, many children under age three are in groups of 17 children or more.

The number of adults may vary with sick-leave – daycare staff are one of the top three categories in taking sick-leave – and often substitutes are not used to save money. This means that some days a group of 17 children under three can have only two or even one adult for several hours.

Some Swedish experts are concerned that Swedish daycare quality is in some cases so low that healthy child development may be at risk. Two books on the subject have been published recently: Daycare for the Smallest Children – for Good and for Bad (2009)\textsuperscript{12} and Are the Children Doing Alright in Daycare? (2014).\textsuperscript{13}

In Are the Children Doing Alright in Daycare? Professor Ulla Waldenström, asks for more research on the effects of daycare on children’s development. She notes that no substantial research on daycare has been done in Sweden since two small studies in the 1980s.

This is puzzling given the enormous possible effects of a phenomena involving over 90 percent of all preschool children at the most sensitive ages, and with allocations of two to three percent of national spending.

Before other countries copy Sweden’s public daycare system, they should be careful to consider what the results have been.
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